5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSEPH CORENO,

No. C 10-2372 SI (pr)

Plaintiff,

ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINES

v.

Dr. LAWRENCE GAMBOA; Dr. SEPULVEDA, C.M.O.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff has filed a request for a 90-day extension of the deadline to file his opposition to defendants' motion for summary judgment. (Docket # 18.) A 90-day extension is not warranted under the circumstances mentioned in plaintiff's request. Most notably, plaintiff has not shown specific discovery needs that warrant such a long extension and, as defendants suggest, the fact that they raised a qualified immunity defense in their motion for summary judgment means that they likely will be entitled to a stay of discovery if they request it. Upon due consideration of plaintiff's request, the court GRANTS a 60-day extension of the deadline. The court now sets the following new briefing schedule on the motion for summary judgment:

- 1. Plaintiff must file and serve on defense counsel his opposition to the motion for summary judgment no later than **October 7, 2011**.
- Defendants must file and serve their reply brief (if any) no later than October 24,
 2011.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 2, 2011

SUSAN ILLSTON United States District Judge